

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
10

11 MAKSIM YARMALIUK,  
12 Plaintiff,

13 v.

14 COUNTY OF SACRAMENTO, et al.,  
15 Defendants.  
16

No. 2:25-cv-1545 TLN AC

ORDER

17 The court is in receipt of plaintiff's request to participate in electronic filing pursuant to  
18 Local Rule 133. ECF No. 6. The court has reviewed the filing and DENIES the motion in part  
19 because it lacks the necessary information required by the Local Rule. Although the Eastern  
20 District of California is an electronic management/filing district, unrepresented persons are  
21 required to file and serve paper documents unless the assigned District Judge or Magistrate Judge  
22 grants leave to utilize electronic filing. L.R. 133(a), (b)(2).


23 Although the motion is denied with respect to utilizing the CM/ECF system to file  
24 documents and plaintiff must continue to file paper documents with the Court through  
25 conventional means, the motion is GRANTED with respect to electronic service of documents.  
26 The Clerk is DIRECTED to configure plaintiff's account so that plaintiff will receive immediate  
27 email notifications when documents are filed in the case. Plaintiff consents to receive service of

28 ///

documents electronically and waives the right to receive service by first class mail pursuant to FRCP 5(b)(2)(D).

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: July 14, 2025

  
ALLISON CLAIRE  
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE